

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,720	09/26/2001	James A. Powell	17674 (13201US01)	9296
7590 10/16/2003			EXAMINER	
Tyco Electronice Corporation			LEON, EDWIN A	
4550 New Lind Suite 450	en Hill Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilmington, D	E 19808-2952		2833	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s) Application No. POWELL ET AL. 09/963,720 Art Unit Examiner 2833 Edwin A. León

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address

THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

DEDIOD FOR REDI V Ichack either a) or h)]

	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	-
	a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
3 (706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the state of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory p	
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
	(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
	(c) \times they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	e
	(d) ⊠ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	l
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	Ì
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	.
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	(
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
١	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected:	
١	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
١	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
	 10. □ Other:	
1		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations "whereby said first connector is separable form said second connector as electrical cabling is spliced thereto", an adjacent said connector", "each said connector is separable from an adjacent connector by breaking said ultrasonic weld", and the new presented claims would require further search and consideration.

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800